



Karlsruher Institut für Technologie
(KIT)
Kaiserstrasse 12
76131 Karlsruhe

Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT)

Sehr geehrter Herr
Dr.-Ing. Johannes Meyer (PERSÖNLICH)

Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

Sehr geehrter Herr Dr.-Ing. Meyer,

mit diesem Schreiben erhalten Sie die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung „Computational Imaging“.

Ihre Lehrveranstaltung „Computational Imaging“ hat den Lehrqualitätsindex

LQI = 100.

Die Auswertung zu Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung gliedert sich in folgende Abschnitte:
Zu Beginn der Auswertung werden die Ergebnisse der Befragung in Form von Häufigkeitstabellen dargestellt. Bei allen Fragen wird die Anzahl der abgegebenen Antworten (n) angezeigt. Bei den 5er-Skalafragen finden Sie zusätzlich neben dem Histogramm den Mittelwert (mw) und die Standardabweichung (s) der jeweiligen Frage. Neben manchen Fragen finden Sie zudem ein Ampelsymbol abgebildet. Diese Fragen dienen der Qualitätssicherung der Lehre. Im vorletzten Teil werden sämtliche 5er-Skalenfragen in einem Profilliniendiagramm abgebildet. Zuletzt sind die Antworten zu den offenen Fragen aufgelistet.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen,
Ihr Evaluationsteam

Dr.-Ing. Johannes Meyer

Computational Imaging (2400173)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 17




Periode: **WS25/26**

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Frage-
text



Erklärung der Ampelsymbole



Der Mittelwert liegt unterhalb der Qualitätsrichtlinie.




Der Mittelwert liegt im Toleranzbereich der Qualitätsrichtlinie.




Der Mittelwert liegt innerhalb der Qualitätsrichtlinie.

1. Questions Concerning the Course


1.1) How do you assess the participation of your fellow students in this course?




1.2) How much do you like attending this course?




1.3) Why do you attend this course?



1.4) My commitment to this course is reflected by (multiple choice):




1.5) Coordination of the content with that of other courses of my studies plan is ...




Please rate the lecture regarding the following aspects:


1.6) Contents




1.7) Scope




1.8) Prior knowledge required




1.9) Speed




1.10) Clarity (using helpful examples)



1.11) Quality of learning material




1.12) Which aids (media) does the lecturer use to support teaching and learning? (Multiple choice)




Under certain circumstances, anonymity cannot be ensured when making hand-written comments. In case of free answers, change your writing, e.g. by using printed letters.


1.14) Did the lecturer know how to use the aids?




1.15) Do you see the relevance of the teaching content to your further studies?




1.16) The course supports (multiple choice)



1.17) I learn a lot in this course.




1.18) Do you benefit from the lecture?




2. Questions Concerning the Venue


2.1) In comparison to the number of participants, the size of the room is



2.2) Acoustic conditions are



2.3) Visibility conditions are




3. Questions Concerning the Lecturer


3.1) Does the lecturer refer to latest research activities?



3.2) Does the lecturer refer to correlations between theory and practice?




3.3) Does the lecturer appear competent during the lecture?




4. Questions Concerning Your Studies


4.1) What is your current field of study:




4.3) How many courses do you attend this semester?



4.4) What is your semester?




4.5) How much time have you spent on the average per week for the preparation and follow-up of this course (so far!)?




5. Monitoring


5.1) Please rate the course as a whole.




5.2) How large is the amount of work for this course?




5.3) The amount of work required for this course is...




5.4) How is the course structured?



5.5) Does the lecturer appear dedicated and motivated during the course?



5.6) Is the lecturer responsive to questions and concerns of the students?



Thank you for your cooperation!

Profillinie


Teilbereich: 01. WiSe 2025/26 Informatik

Name der/des Lehrenden: Dr.-Ing. Johannes Meyer


Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Computational Imaging
(Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert


1. Questions Concerning the Course




2. Questions Concerning the Venue



3. Questions Concerning the Lecturer



5. Monitoring



Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

1. Questions Concerning the Course

1.13) Please name the other aids used by the lecturer:

- iPad drawings
- Jupyter Notebooks, Jupyter Hub, Discord
- Live streaming, Discord, Jupyter Notebooks, Jupyter Hub
- The lecturers uses a very helpful website with all the relevant information for the course (Exercises, scrips, tools for further understanding, organisational content)

1.19) What I liked most:

- I really liked the clear structure of the course, the different possibilities to improve the understanding of the topics and the organisation of the course.
- The effort by the lecturer to make you understand by visuals and drawings for everything.
- The flexibility and availability of all the lecture materials and the conceptual clarification of each topic.

1.20) What I did not like at all:

- The lecture sometimes feels monotonic.

4. Questions Concerning Your Studies

4.2) Other field of study:

- Biomedical Engineering Master
- Biomedical Engineering MSc (2 Nennungen)
- Electrical Engineering and Information Technology M.Sc.
- Masters Biomedical engineering
- Mechanical Engineering M.Sc
- MSc Biomedical Engineering